

**OGILVY
RENAULT**

LLP / S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l.

Facsimile

Our File: 9-13528-215US MRW/ma

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****NOV 14 2005****Confidentiality Message**

This communication sent by facsimile is confidential, may be privileged and is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee. Any other person is strictly prohibited from disclosing, distributing or reproducing it. If the addressee cannot be reached or is unknown to you, please inform us immediately by telephone (613) 230-6072 at our expense and delete this message and all copies. Thank you.

Number of pages including cover letter: 14
Date: November 14, 2005
From: Max R. Wood
Telephone: (613) 780-8681
E-mail: mwood@ogilvyrenault.com

| To: | Company: | City: | Fax: |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------|
| ART UNIT 2631 Examiner: | United States Patent Office Facsimile Centre | Alexandria, VA | 571-273,8300 |

Re: Serial No. 10/796,152
Inventor(s): Kuang Tsan Wu et al.
Title: Carrier Lock Detector

Publication correction attached.

Barristers and Solicitors
Patent and Trade-Mark Agents

45 O'Connor Street
Suite 1700
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1P 1A4

Telephone (613) 230-6072
Fax (613) 230-6706
ogilvyrenault.com

Continuing the practices of
Meighen Demers
Ogilvy Renault
Swabey Ogilvy Renault

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

NOV 14 2005

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission


13

| | |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Application Number | 10/796,152 |
| Filing Date | March 10, 2004 |
| First Named Inventor | Kuang Tsan Wu |
| Art Unit | 2631 |
| Examiner Name | |
| Attorney Docket Number | 9-13528-215US |

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Publication Correction |
| Remarks _____ | | |

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Firm Name | Ogilvy Renault, LLP |
| Signature |  |
| Printed name | Max R. Wood |
| Date | November 14, 2005 |
| Reg. No. | 40,388 |

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Signature |  |
| Typed or printed name | Max R. Wood |
| Date | November 14, 2005 |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



LLP / S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l.

Direct Dial: (613) 780-8681
Direct Fax: (613) 230-6706
mwood@ogilvyrenault.com

November 14, 2005

Mail Stop PGPUB

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

ERRORS NOTED IN APPLICATION FOLLOWING PUBLICATION

RE: United States Patent Application Serial No. 10/796,152
Title: CARRIER LOCK DETECTOR
Inventors: Kuang Tsan Wu et al.
Assignee: Nortel Networks Limited
Our File: 9-13528-215US

A review of the above-identified patent application following publication has identified the following errors in the application:

In the published application, the following paragraphs and claims should be amended to show a format of the equations as they appeared in the application as filed:

[0014] FIG. 2 shows an I/Q plot 50 of a prior-art lock detection algorithm implemented on a QPSK or 4-QAM system. Centered about four nominal states 52 are four first areas 54 defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$. Adjacent to the first areas are second areas 56 defined by $\overline{I_2 \oplus I_3} \cdot \overline{Q_2 \oplus Q_3}$. If a detected signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the four areas 54 surrounding the nominal states 52, a first signal is generated. If the detected signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the second areas 56, then a second signal is generated. The difference between the first and second signals is compared with a threshold value. If the difference exceeds the threshold, then a carrier lock detection signal is generated.

[0020] In embodiments of the invention, the first areas and the second areas are defined in terms of I_1, I_2, I_3 and Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 . A first algorithm defines the first areas

Barristers & Solicitors,
Patent Agents & Trade-mark Agents

Suite 1600
1981 McGill College Avenue
Montréal, Quebec H3A 2Y3
Canada

Telephone (514) 847-4747
Fax (514) 288-8389

ogilvyrenault.com

**OGILVY
RENAULT**

LIP / SENGAL, srl

Page 2

by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas by $\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2} I_3 + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2} \overline{Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$. A second algorithm defines the first areas by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas by $(\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2 \oplus Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2 \oplus I_3})$.

[0037] The present invention provides a carrier lock detector for QPSK or 4-QAM. A carrier lock detector for a QPSK or 4-QAM system implements a lock detection algorithm in which I and Q components are mapped onto a phasor diagram. First areas are defined to be centered about nominal states whereas second areas are defined adjacent to the first areas. When detected signals map onto one of the first areas, a first signal is generated. When detected signals map onto one of the second areas, a second signal is generated. When the difference between the first and second signals exceeds a threshold, a carrier lock detection signal is generated to enable a decoder. The first areas are defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$. The second areas are defined by $\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2} I_3 + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2} \overline{Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$, or alternatively, by $(\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2 \oplus Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2 \oplus I_3})$. The carrier lock detector is able to detect carrier lock or carrier spinning at raw BER of 1e-2 or greater at very low SNR.

[0045] The I bits 116 and Q bits 118 are then processed by logic gating 120 of the carrier lock detector 100. In the first embodiment, the logic gating 120 implements the first lock detection algorithm in which the first areas are defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas are defined by $\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2} I_3 + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2} \overline{Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the first areas constitutes a first counter. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the second areas constitutes a second counter.

[0047] For defining the second areas, Q_1 and Q_2 are first processed by a NOT-XOR gate 123 while I_1 and I_2 are processed by another NOT-XOR gate 124. Meanwhile, three NOT gates 125 convert I_1 , I_2 and I_3 into $\overline{I_1}$, $\overline{I_2}$ and $\overline{I_3}$, respectively. Likewise, another three NOT gates 126 convert Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 into $\overline{Q_1}$, $\overline{Q_2}$ and $\overline{Q_3}$. A pair of AND gates 125a produce $I_1 \overline{I_2} I_3$ and $\overline{I_1} I_2 I_3$ which are then logically added using an OR gate 125b. Another pair of AND gates 126a produce $Q_1 \overline{Q_2} \overline{Q_3}$ and $\overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3$ which are then logically added using another OR gate 126b. The outputs of the NOT XOR gate 123 and the OR gate 125b are then logically multiplied using an AND gate 128.



Likewise, the outputs of the NOT XOR gate 124 and the OR gate 126b are also logically multiplied using another AND gate 129. Finally, the outputs of the AND gates 128, 129 are logically added using an OR gate 130 to give the final result $\overline{Q_1} \oplus \overline{Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2} I_3 + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1} \oplus \overline{I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2} \overline{Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$.

[0053] FIG. 8 is an I/Q plot 50 of the first lock detection algorithm in accordance with the first embodiment of the present invention. The I/Q plot 50 has four nominal states 52. Centered on each of the four nominal states 52 is a first area 54 defined logically by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$. Adjacent to the first areas 54 are four second areas 56 which are defined by $\overline{Q_1} \oplus \overline{Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2} I_3 + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1} \oplus \overline{I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2} \overline{Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$.

[0055] The I bits 116 and Q bits 118 are then processed by logic gating 120 of the carrier lock detector 100. In the second embodiment, the logic gating 120 implements the second lock detection algorithm whereby the first areas are defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas are defined by $(\overline{I_1} \oplus \overline{I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2} \oplus \overline{Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1} \oplus \overline{Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2} \oplus \overline{I_3})$. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the first areas constitutes a first counter. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the second areas constitutes a second counter.

[0057] For defining the second areas, I_1 and I_2 are first processed by a NOT-XOR gate 123 while Q_1 and Q_2 are processed by another NOT-XOR gate 124. Meanwhile, the output of the XOR gate 121 is processed by a NOT gate 126 while the output of the XOR gate 122 is processed by another NOT gate 125. The output of the NOT gate 125 is logically multiplied by the output of the NOT XOR gate 123 using an AND gate 128. The output of the NOT gate 126 is logically multiplied by the output of the NOT XOR gate 124 using another AND gate 129. The outputs of the AND gates 128, 129 are then logically added using an OR gate 130 to give the final result $(\overline{I_1} \oplus \overline{I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2} \oplus \overline{Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1} \oplus \overline{Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2} \oplus \overline{I_3})$.

[0063] FIG. 10 is an I/Q plot 50 of the second lock detection algorithm in accordance with the second embodiment of the present invention. The I/Q plot 50 has four nominal states 52. Centered on each of the four nominal states 52 is a first area 54 defined logically by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$. Adjacent to the first areas 54 are eight second areas 56 which are defined by $(\overline{I_1} \oplus \overline{I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2} \oplus \overline{Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1} \oplus \overline{Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2} \oplus \overline{I_3})$.

**OGILVY
RENAULT**

UP / SENCRL, s.r.l

Page 4

Claim 2 The carrier lock detector as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas are defined by $\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2 I_3} + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2 Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$.

Claim 3 The carrier lock detector as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas are defined by $(\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2 \oplus Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2 \oplus I_3})$.

Claim 7 The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 6, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas are defined by $\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2 I_3} + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2 Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$.

Claim 8 The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 6, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas are defined by $(\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2 \oplus Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2 \oplus I_3})$.

Claim 18 The method as claimed in claim 17 further comprising the step of defining the first areas by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas by $\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot (I_1 \overline{I_2 I_3} + \overline{I_1} I_2 I_3) + (\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2}) \cdot (Q_1 \overline{Q_2 Q_3} + \overline{Q_1} Q_2 Q_3)$.

Claim 19 The method as claimed in claim 18 further comprising a step of defining the first areas by $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas by $(\overline{I_1 \oplus I_2} \cdot \overline{Q_2 \oplus Q_3}) + (\overline{Q_1 \oplus Q_2} \cdot \overline{I_2 \oplus I_3})$.

To facilitate in understanding the amendments requested, a copy of the published application is enclosed showing the errors.



Page 5

While republication of the application is not required, the Office is hereby requested to correct this error in the Office records, and confirmation that this error has been corrected is requested.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Max R. Wood".

Max R. Wood
Reg. No. 40,388
Agent of Record

MRW/ma

US 2005/0201492 A1

1

Sep. 15, 2005

CARRIER LOCK DETECTOR**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

[0001] This is the first application filed for the present invention.

MICROFICHE APPENDIX

[0002] Not Applicable.

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0003] The present invention relates in general to carrier recovery in digital communication systems, and in particular to coherent receivers having carrier lock detectors.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0004] Digital communication systems modulate an electromagnetic carrier signal to convey binary information from a transmitter to a receiver. Two well-known digital modulation techniques are QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation) and QPSK (Quadrature Phase Shift Keying). Both QAM and QPSK are forms of I/Q Modulation because both of these modulation techniques compose a signal from two independent components: an in-phase component (I) and a quadrature component (Q). Since the in-phase and quadrature components are orthogonal to each other, they do not interfere with each other during transmission. The I and Q components are represented graphically by plotting I and Q on a plane Cartesian coordinate system, known as a phasor diagram, in which the I value is conventionally the abscissa while the Q value is the ordinate.

[0005] Demodulation of the in-phase and quadrature components of the received signal recovers the I bits and Q bits, respectively. When plotted on the phasor diagram, the signals form an I/Q constellation, or a signal point constellation. For good reception, the signal points should cluster tightly around discrete points, or "nominal states", which are then readily demodulated into binary 1's and binary 0's. When the signal points cluster tightly around the nominal states, the carrier is said to be locked.

[0006] A coherent receiver, such as an optical coherent receiver, must be locked, or "in lock", to ensure that binary data is received at a minimal rate of error, usually expressed in terms of bit-error rate, or BER. Bit-error rate is customarily defined as the average probability that the receiver will incorrectly identify a bit. Raw BER is BER before decoding by FEC (forward error correction). With the use of powerful FEC, the BER can be reduced by many orders of magnitude compared to the raw BER.

[0007] A carrier can go "out of lock" when severe distortion occurs. For an optical coherent receiver, distortion may occur because of PMD (Polarization-Mode Dispersion), PDL (Polarization Dependent Loss), ASE (Amplified Spontaneous Emission) or any combination thereof.

[0008] In communication systems using QAM or QPSK, carrier lock is necessary to permit accurate decoding of the signals and recovery of the baseband I and Q bits. In other words, to enable the modulated signals to be correctly decoded, the phase and frequency of the receiver LO (local oscillator) must be locked to the incoming carrier.

[0009] In microwave communication systems, fading is a common and well-known phenomenon. During a fade, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the received signal falls to a low level, such that the signal and/or carrier lock may be lost. At the end of a fade, carrier lock must first be established before the signal can be correctly decoded. A carrier lock detector is used to detect whether the carrier is locked or unlocked. The carrier lock detector enables the decoder only when carrier lock is detected.

[0010] It is desirable to maintain carrier lock, and to detect carrier lock with a high degree of reliability, at the lowest possible levels of SNR, so that the system can tolerate deep fades with minimal loss of decoded data.

[0011] U.S. Pat. No. 4,736,386 (Nichols) entitled CARRIER OUT-OF-LOCK DETECTOR APPARATUS teaches a carrier lock detector which is responsive to I and Q (in-phase and quadrature) amplitude error bits to provide an out-of-lock indication when, on average, more than half of the detected I and Q amplitudes are in error. During an out-of-lock condition, the detected signal point positions rotate about the I and Q axes of the phase plane diagram, the rate of rotation of the detected signal point positions being dependent upon the carrier phase error.

[0012] A disadvantage of this known carrier lock detector is that, at low levels of SNR during fading, it can indicate an out-of-lock condition even though the carrier is still locked. For example, for a 512-QAM system such a known detector fails to operate correctly for a SNR of less than about 29 dB, whereas it is desirable to have a detector which operates correctly at lower levels of SNR, for example down to about 25 dB.

[0013] An improved carrier lock detector is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,987,375 entitled CARRIER LOCK DETECTOR FOR A QAM SYSTEM (Wu et al.), illustrated in FIG. 1. As shown in FIG. 1, the carrier lock detector includes a QAM demodulator 10, which splits the signal into analog I and Q components on respective output lines 14. A pair of analog-to-digital converters (ADC) 12 convert the analog I and Q components into I bits and Q bits on lines 16. The carrier lock detector further includes a gating circuit 18, an encoder 20, an integrating circuit 22, and a comparator 24. The gating circuit 18 has two EXCLUSIVE-OR gates 26 and 28 as well as two AND gates 30 and 32. The integrating circuit 22 includes an integrating capacitor 34 coupled via resistors 36 to the outputs of the encoder 20 and via resistors 38 to the differential inputs of a differential amplifier 40, having a negative feedback resistor 42 and a resistor 44 between its non-inverting input and ground, and having a smoothing circuit with a resistor 46 and a capacitor 48 coupled to its output. The resistors 42 and 44 have the same resistance, and the resistors 36, 38 each have half that resistance. The comparator 24 compares the smoothed output of the integrating circuit 22 with a reference voltage V_{ref} to produce an output V_{out} which constitutes a carrier lock detection signal.

[0014] FIG. 2 shows an I/Q plot 50 of a prior-art lock detection algorithm implemented on a QPSK or 4-QAM system. Centered about four nominal states 52 are four first areas 54 defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_1), (Q_1 \oplus Q_1)$. Adjacent to the first areas are second areas 56 defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2), (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$. If a detected signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the four areas 54 surrounding the nominal states 52, a first

US 2005/0201492 A1

2

Sep. 15, 2005

signal is generated. If the detected signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the second areas 56, then a second signal is generated. The difference between the first and second signals is compared with a threshold value. If the difference exceeds the threshold, then a carrier lock detection signal is generated.

[0015] Although the carrier lock detector described by Wu et al. worked well for large constellations, such as 16-QAM to 512-QAM, for lower-level QAM such as 4-QAM or for QPSK, the method and apparatus described by Wu et al. is not effective. In light of the very important optical applications such as 2-pol QPSK, due to the effect of laser linewidth and other distortions such as PMD and PDL and the use of powerful FEC, there is a need for a much more robust carrier lock detector that can operate at raw BER of $1e-2$ or higher. Furthermore, due to the high speed of optical modems (circa 10 GBaud), it is highly desirable that the hardware implementation of this carrier lock detector be simple.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0016] Accordingly, an object of the present invention is to provide a carrier lock detector that will overcome at least some of the deficiencies described above.

[0017] Thus, the present invention provides a carrier lock detector for use with a QPSK or low-level QAM system having a constellation of signal points identified by a plurality of I bits and Q bits respectively representing in-phase and quadrature components in a phasor diagram, the phasor diagram including a plurality of first areas centered on ideal signal points representing nominal states and a plurality of second areas adjacent to the first areas. The detector includes a first counter responsive to a plurality of I bits and Q bits, the first counter producing a first output signal when a detected signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the first areas; a second counter responsive to a plurality of I bits and Q bits, the second counter producing a second output signal when a detected signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the second areas; and a logic device for computing a difference between the first output signal and the second output signal and for generating a carrier lock detection signal when the difference between the first output signal and the second output signal exceeds a threshold probability.

[0018] Another aspect of the present invention provides a coherent receiver having a local oscillator for generating a local signal having a local frequency different from that of an incoming signal; a combiner for combining the incoming signal with the local signal to produce an intermediate signal; a detector for detecting the intermediate signal; a filter for filtering the intermediate signal; a demodulator for separating the intermediate signal into analog I and Q components; a first analog-to-digital converter for converting the analog I components into I bits; a second analog-to-digital converter for converting the analog Q components into Q bits; and a carrier lock detector having the features described above.

[0019] Yet another aspect of the present invention provides a method of detecting carrier lock in a QPSK or low-level QAM system having a constellation of signal points identified by a plurality of I bits and Q bits respectively representing in-phase and quadrature components in a

phasor diagram, the phasor diagram including a plurality of first areas centered on ideal signal points representing nominal states and a plurality of second areas adjacent to the first areas. The method includes the steps of: generating a first signal when a detected incoming signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the first areas; generating a second signal when a detected incoming signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the second areas; computing a difference between the first signal and the second signal; comparing the difference with a threshold value; and generating a carrier lock detection signal when the difference exceeds the threshold.

[0020] In embodiments of the invention, the first areas and the second areas are defined in terms of I_1, I_2, I_3 and Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 . A first algorithm defines the first areas by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ and the second areas by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2) + (I_1 \oplus I_3)(Q_1 \oplus Q_3) + (I_2 \oplus I_3)(Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$. A second algorithm defines the first areas by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ and the second areas by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2) + (I_1 \oplus I_3)(Q_1 \oplus Q_3) + (I_2 \oplus I_3)(Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0021] Further features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description, taken in combination with the appended drawings, in which:

[0022] FIG. 1 is a circuit diagram of a prior-art carrier lock detector for a QAM system;

[0023] FIG. 2 is an I/Q plot of a prior-art lock detection algorithm implemented on a QPSK or 4-QAM system;

[0024] FIG. 3 is an observed signal point constellation for QPSK plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier lock at high SNR;

[0025] FIG. 4 is an observed signal point constellation for QPSK plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier lock at low SNR;

[0026] FIG. 5 is an observed signal point constellation for QPSK plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier unlocked (or spinning) at high SNR;

[0027] FIG. 6 is an observed signal point constellation for QPSK plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier unlocked (or spinning) at low SNR;

[0028] FIG. 7 is a circuit diagram of a carrier lock detector in accordance with a first embodiment of the present invention;

[0029] FIG. 8 is an I/Q plot of a first lock detection algorithm in accordance with the first embodiment of the present invention;

[0030] FIG. 9 is a circuit diagram of a carrier lock detector in accordance with a second embodiment of the present invention;

[0031] FIG. 10 is an I/Q plot of a second lock detection algorithm in accordance with the second embodiment of the present invention;

[0032] FIG. 11 is a graph of probability difference (P_{12}) versus bit-error rate (BER) for a prior-art lock detection algorithm implemented on a QPSK or 4-QAM system;

US 2005/0201492 A1

3

Sep. 15, 2005

[0033] FIG. 12 is a graph of probability difference (P_{12}) versus bit-error rate (BER) for the first lock detection algorithm in accordance with the first embodiment of the present invention;

[0034] FIG. 13 is a graph of probability difference (P_{12}) versus bit-error rate (BER) for the second lock detection algorithm in accordance with the second embodiment of the present invention; and

[0035] FIG. 14 is a block diagram of an optical coherent receiver having x-pol and y-pol carrier lock detectors in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0036] It will be noted that throughout the appended drawings, like features are identified by like reference numerals.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0037] The present invention provides a carrier lock detector for QPSK or 4-QAM. A carrier lock detector for a QPSK or 4-QAM system implements a lock detection algorithm in which I and Q components are mapped onto a phasor diagram. First areas are defined to be centered about nominal states whereas second areas are defined adjacent to the first areas. When detected signals map onto one of the first areas, a first signal is generated. When detected signals map onto one of the second areas, a second signal is generated. When the difference between the first and second signals exceeds a threshold, a carrier lock detection signal is generated to enable a decoder. The first areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$. The second areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(I_1 \oplus I_2 + Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ or alternatively, by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2 + I_1 \oplus I_2)$. The carrier lock detector is able to detect carrier lock or carrier spinning at raw BER of 1e-2 or greater at very low SNR.

[0038] The first and second areas are defined to optimize the likelihood that carrier lock or unlock will be accurately detected. The choice of first and second areas was based on observations of QPSK constellations for carrier locked/spinning conditions and for both high and low signal-to-noise ratios.

[0039] FIGS. 3 to 6 represent four signal point constellations (also known as "I/Q plots") showing the typical scatter of signal points depending on whether the carrier is locked or unlocked and whether the signal-to-noise ratio is high or low.

[0040] FIG. 3 is a signal point constellation plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier lock at high SNR. When the carrier is locked at high SNR, the signal points are tightly clustered around each of the respective nominal states.

[0041] FIG. 4 is a signal point constellation plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier lock at low SNR. When the carrier is locked at low SNR, the signal points are loosely dispersed around each of the respective nominal states, rendering detection of carrier lock more difficult.

[0042] FIG. 5 is a signal point constellation plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier unlocked (or spinning) at high SNR. When the carrier spins at high SNR, the signal points are tightly clustered in an annular zone centered about the origin of the I/Q plot.

[0043] FIG. 6 is a signal point constellation plotted on an I/Q phasor diagram showing carrier unlocked (or spinning) at low SNR. When the carrier spins at low SNR, the signal points are dispersed in an annular zone centered about the origin of the I/Q plot, rendering detection of carrier lock more difficult.

[0044] A first embodiment of the carrier lock detector in accordance with the present invention is shown in FIG. 7. A receiver system has a QPSK carrier lock detector 100 which determines carrier lock or carrier unlock based on signals received from an upstream QPSK demodulator 110 and a pair of analog-to-digital converters 112, 114 (also referred to herein as "A/D converters" or "ADCs"). As shown in FIG. 7, a first 3-bit ADC 112 converts analog in-phase components of the demodulated signal into I bits (I_1, I_2, I_3) designated collectively by the reference numeral 116. A second 3-bit ADC 114 converts analog quadrature components of the demodulated signal into Q bits (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) designated collectively by the reference numeral 118.

[0045] The I bits 116 and Q bits 118 are then processed by logic gating 120 of the carrier lock detector 100. In the first embodiment, the logic gating 120 implements the first lock detection algorithm in which the first areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ and the second areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(I_1 \oplus I_2 + Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ or $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2 + I_1 \oplus I_2)$. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the first areas constitutes a first counter. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the second areas constitutes a second counter.

[0046] As illustrated in FIG. 7, I_1 and I_2 enter an exclusive-OR (XOR) gate 121 while Q_1 and Q_2 enter another exclusive-OR (XOR) gate 122. The output of the XOR gates 121, 122 enters an AND gate to produce $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$, which defines the first areas.

[0047] For defining the second areas, Q_1 and Q_2 are first processed by a NOT-XOR gate 123 while I_1 and I_2 are processed by another NOT-XOR gate 124. Meanwhile, three NOT gates 125 convert I_1, I_2 and I_3 into \bar{I}_1, \bar{I}_2 and \bar{I}_3 , respectively. Likewise, another three NOT gates 126 convert Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 into \bar{Q}_1, \bar{Q}_2 and \bar{Q}_3 . A pair of AND gates 125a produce $\bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2$ and $\bar{I}_2 \bar{I}_3$, which are then logically added using an OR gate 125b. Another pair of AND gates 126a produce $\bar{Q}_1 \bar{Q}_2$ and $\bar{Q}_2 \bar{Q}_3$, which are then logically added using another OR gate 126b. The outputs of the NOT XOR gate 123 and the OR gate 125b are then logically multiplied using an AND gate 128. Likewise, the outputs of the NOT XOR gate 124 and the OR gate 126b are also logically multiplied using another AND gate 129. Finally, the outputs of the AND gates 128, 129 are logically added using an OR gate 130 to give the final result $(I_1 \oplus I_2 + \bar{I}_1 \bar{I}_2 + \bar{I}_2 \bar{I}_3)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2 + \bar{Q}_1 \bar{Q}_2 + \bar{Q}_2 \bar{Q}_3)$.

[0048] Every time a detected signal maps onto one of the first areas, a first signal (S_1) is generated. Likewise, every time a detected signal maps onto one of the second areas, a second signal (S_2) is generated. A pair of digital filters 140 keeps a running average of S_1 and S_2 . The running averages of S_1 and S_2 are denoted P_1 and P_2 . The value of P_1 represents the probability that the detected signals are mapping onto one of the first areas, where the signals are most likely to fall into when the carrier is locked. The value of P_2 represents the probability that the detected signals are map-

US 2005/0201492 A1

Sep. 15, 2005

4

ping onto one of the second areas. Note that when carrier is not locked, the signals fall into the 1st and 2nd areas with approximately equal probability, i.e., $P_1 - P_2$ would be small. When carrier is locked, $P_1 - P_2$ is large. Thus, $P_1 - P_2$ can be used as a good indication of carrier 'lock' or 'out-of-lock'.

[0049] The sensitivity of the digital filters 140 can be adjusted by changing the value of a , where $1/(1-a)$ is proportional to the number of symbols over which the running average is computed. For example, if $a = 0.999$, then the running average will be computed over approximately 4000 symbols. Depending upon the system requirement, the value of a can be adjusted accordingly. For example, if a longer average is desired, a can be set larger (still < 1).

[0050] As noted above, the probabilities P_1 and P_2 are computed as running averages. P_1 is continually updated according the formula $P_1 \rightarrow (1-a)S_1 + aP_1$. Analogously, P_2 is continually updated according the formula $P_2 \rightarrow (1-a)S_2 + aP_2$.

[0051] Each digital filter 140 has a multiplier 141 which multiplies the first and second signals (S_1 and S_2 , respectively) by $(1-a)$ to produce $(1-a)S_1$ and $(1-a)S_2$, respectively. An adder 142 adds $(1-a)S_1$ and $(1-a)S_2$ to aP_1 and aP_2 , respectively. A multiplier 144 on each digital filter produces aP_1 and aP_2 . Each filter 140 also has a delay element 146 (labeled D in the figure) equal to the symbol interval. For example, if the symbol rate is 10 GHz, the symbol interval would be 100 ps, and thus $D = 100$ ps.

[0052] A probability difference ($P_1 - P_2$) is computed by a logic device having a subtracting means 148. A comparator 150 compares ($P_1 - P_2$) with a value R , which represents the threshold probability. If $P_1 - P_2 > R$, then a carrier lock detection signal is generated in the form of a binary 1 to indicate carrier lock. If $P_1 - P_2 < R$, then a binary 0 is generated to indicate carrier spinning, or carrier unlocked. The carrier lock detection signal can be used to enable and disable a decoder 160. For QPSK and 4-QAM, the threshold R can be set to 0.3, which provides superior discrimination between signals mapping into the first areas and those mapping onto the second areas. The threshold comparator 150 can also be constructed to account for hysteresis, in which two thresholds are used, e.g., one at 0.35 and the other at 0.25.

[0053] FIG. 8 is an I/Q plot 50 of the first lock detection algorithm in accordance with the first embodiment of the present invention. The I/Q plot 50 has four nominal states 52. Centered on each of the four nominal states 52 is a first area 54 defined logically by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$. Adjacent to the first areas 54 are four second areas 56 which are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (I_1 \oplus I_2) + (I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2 + Q_1 \oplus Q_3)$.

[0054] A second embodiment of the carrier lock detector in accordance with the present invention is shown in FIG. 9. A receiver system has a QPSK carrier lock detector 100 which determines carrier lock or carrier unlock based on signals received from an upstream QPSK demodulator 110 and a pair of analog-to-digital converters 112, 114. As shown in FIG. 9, a first 3-bit ADC 112 converts analog in-phase components of the demodulated signal into I bits (I_1, I_2, I_3) designated collectively by the reference numeral 116. A second 3-bit ADC 114 converts analog quadrature components of the demodulated signal into Q bits (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) designated collectively by the reference numeral 118.

[0055] The I bits 116 and Q bits 118 are then processed by logic gating 120 of the carrier lock detector 100. In the

second embodiment, the logic gating 120 implements the second lock detection algorithm whereby the first areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3) + (Q_1 \oplus Q_2) \cdot (I_1 \oplus I_2)$. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the first areas constitutes a first counter. The portion of the logic gating that determines whether a detected signal maps onto one of the second areas constitutes a second counter.

[0056] As illustrated in FIG. 9, I_2 and I_3 enter an exclusive-OR (XOR) gate 121 while Q_2 and Q_3 enter another exclusive-OR (XOR) gate 122. The output of the XOR gates 121, 122 enters an AND gate to produce $(I_2 \oplus I_3) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$, which defines the first areas.

[0057] For defining the second areas, I_1 and I_2 are first processed by a NOT-XOR gate 123 while Q_1 and Q_2 are processed by another NOT-XOR gate 124. Meanwhile, the output of the XOR gate 121 is processed by a NOT gate 126 while the output of the XOR gate 122 is processed by another NOT gate 125. The output of the NOT gate 125 is logically multiplied by the output of the NOT XOR gate 123 using an AND gate 128. The output of the NOT gate 126 is logically multiplied by the output of the NOT XOR gate 124 using another AND gate 129. The outputs of the AND gates 128, 129 are then logically added using an OR gate 130 to give the final result $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3) + (Q_1 \oplus Q_2) \cdot (I_1 \oplus I_2)$.

[0058] As described above with reference to the first embodiment, every time a detected signal maps onto one of the first areas, a first signal (S_1) is generated. Likewise, every time a detected signal maps onto one of the second areas, a second signal (S_2) is generated. A pair of digital filters 140 keeps a running average of S_1 and S_2 . The running averages of S_1 and S_2 are denoted P_1 and P_2 . The value of P_1 represents the probability that the detected signals are mapping onto one of the first areas, where the signals are most likely to fall into when the carrier is locked. The value of P_2 represents the probability that the detected signals are mapping onto one of the second areas. Note that when carrier is not locked, the signals fall into the 1st and 2nd areas with approximately equal probability, i.e., $P_1 - P_2$ would be small. When carrier is locked, $P_1 - P_2$ is large. Thus, $P_1 - P_2$ can be used as a good indication of carrier 'lock' or 'out-of-lock'.

[0059] The sensitivity of the digital filters 140 can be adjusted by changing the value of a , where $1/(1-a)$ is proportional to the number of symbols over which the running average is computed. For example, if $a = 0.999$, then the running average will be computed over approximately 4000 symbols. Depending upon the system requirement, the value of a can be adjusted accordingly. For example, if a longer average is desired, a can be set larger (still < 1).

[0060] As noted above, the probabilities P_1 and P_2 are computed as running averages. P_1 is continuously updated according the formula $P_1 \rightarrow (1-a)S_1 + aP_1$. Analogously, P_2 is continuously updated according the formula $P_2 \rightarrow (1-a)S_2 + aP_2$.

[0061] Each digital filter 140 has a multiplier 141, which multiplies the first and second signals (S_1 and S_2 , respectively) by $(1-a)$ to produce $(1-a)S_1$ and $(1-a)S_2$, respectively. An adder 142 adds $(1-a)S_1$ and $(1-a)S_2$ to derive aP_1 and aP_2 , respectively. A multiplier 144 on each digital filter

US 2005/0201492 A1

Sep. 15, 2005

5

outputs ΔP_1 , and ΔP_2 . Each filter 140 also has a delay element 146 (labeled D in the figure) equal to the symbol interval. For example, if the symbol rate is 10 GHz, the symbol interval would be 100 ps, and thus $D=100$ ps.

[0062] A probability difference ($P_1 - P_2$) is computed by a logic circuit 148. A comparator 150 compares ($P_1 - P_2$) with a value R , which represents the threshold probability. If $P_1 - P_2 > R$, then a carrier lock detection signal is generated in the form of a binary 1 to indicate carrier lock. If $P_1 - P_2 < R$, then a binary 0 is generated to indicate carrier spinning, or carrier unlocked. The carrier lock detection signal can be used to enable and disable a decoder 160. For QPSK and 4-QAM, the threshold R can be set to 0.3, which provides superior discrimination between signals mapping into the first areas and those mapping onto the second areas. The threshold comparator 150 can also be constructed to account for hysteresis.

[0063] FIG. 10 is an I/Q plot 50 of the second lock detection algorithm in accordance with the second embodiment of the present invention. The I/Q plot 50 has four nominal states 52. Centered on each of the four nominal states 52 is a first area 54 defined logically by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$. Adjacent to the first areas 54 are eight second areas 56 which are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2)(Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$.

[0064] As illustrated in FIGS. 11-13, for QPSK or 4-QAM, the first and second algorithms of this invention provide better discrimination or separation (i.e. a higher P_{12} for a given BER) than the prior-art algorithm. Empirical data was collected using a coherent optical transmitter (Tx laser) and a coherent optical receiver (Rx laser) running at a linewidth of 2.5 MHz each and 4096 symbols.

[0065] FIG. 11 is a plot of probability difference (P_{12}) versus raw bit-error rate (BER) for a QPSK or 4-QAM system using the prior-art algorithm. The difference in probability at a BER of 0.01 is $P_{12}=0.53-0.42=0.11$, which is quite low. Thus, at a raw bit-error rate of 0.01, the ability to discern between carrier 'lock' and 'out-of-lock' is tenuous. As noted above, the prior-art algorithm does not work reliably when applied to a QPSK or 4-QAM system at high raw BER (or, low SNR).

[0066] FIG. 12 is a plot of probability difference (P_{12}) versus raw bit-error rate (BER) for a QPSK or 4-QAM system using the first algorithm in accordance with the first embodiment of the invention. The difference in probability at a BER of 0.01 is $P_{12}=0.47-0.15=0.32$. Thus, the first algorithm of the present invention when applied to QPSK and 4-QAM provides three times better discrimination than the prior-art algorithm. In other words, the ability of the carrier lock detector to discern locked from unlocked states has been improved threefold. Conversely, for a given probability difference (for the purposes of comparing with a preset probability threshold), the first algorithm in accordance with the invention will provide the same separation or discrimination up to a much higher BER. For example, for a probability separation of $P_{12}=0.11$, the first algorithm will function correctly up to a BER of 0.04, which represents a fourfold increase in BER tolerance.

[0067] FIG. 13 is a plot of probability difference (P_{12}) versus raw bit-error rate (BER) for a QPSK or 4-QAM system using the algorithm in accordance with the second

embodiment of the invention. The difference in probability at a BER of 0.01 is $P_{12}=0.30-0.15=0.15$. This represents approximately a 40% increase in separation. While the second algorithm is not as discriminating as the first algorithm, its logic is somewhat simpler to implement.

[0068] FIG. 14 is a block diagram of an optical coherent receiver 200 having a pair of carrier lock detectors 100 in accordance with either one of the embodiments of this invention. As persons skilled in the art will appreciate, the carrier lock detector may also be incorporated into a coherent receiver for RF, microwave or other types of communication systems.

[0069] The optical coherent receiver 200 shown in FIG. 14 receives an optical signal 202 having a carrier frequency. A local oscillator 204, which is typically a laser, generates a local signal at a frequency different from that of the carrier frequency. As will be explained below, the received optical signal is combined, or "beat", with the local signal to produce an intermediate signal having an intermediate frequency, or a "beat frequency", equal to a difference between the carrier frequency (the frequency of the incoming signal) and the frequency of the local oscillator.

[0070] The incoming signal 202 is split into orthogonal components (designated as an x-polarized incoming signal 202x and y-polarized incoming signal 202y) using a first polarization beam splitter (PBS) 206. Likewise, a second PBS 208 is used to split the local signal 204 into orthogonal components, i.e., an x-polarized local signal 204x and a y-polarized local signal 204y. The x-polarized and y-polarized components of the incoming signal and local oscillator signal enter a pair of optical hybrids 210, which act as couplers, and emerge as four mixed signals 220 as shown in FIG. 14. The x-polarized incoming signal 202x (which is designated as SigX in FIG. 14) is mixed with the x-polarized local oscillator signal (designated as LO(xpol) in FIG. 14). The x-polarized incoming signal 202x is also mixed with a 90° phase-shifted x-polarized local oscillator signal, designated 90° LO (xpol).

[0071] Likewise, the y-polarized incoming signal 202y is mixed with the y-polarized local oscillator signal 204y (designated as SigY and LO(ypol), respectively in FIG. 14). The y-polarized incoming signal 202y is also mixed with a 90° phase-shifted y-polarized local oscillator signal, designated 90° LO (ypol).

[0072] Each of these four mixed signals is carried on a separate channel as shown in FIG. 14. Each of these four mixed signals is then detected by a respective PIN diode 230 (which is a photodetector well known in the art). The PIN diode is a "square-law detector" producing an electrical signal proportional to the square of the intensity of the light impinging on the PIN diode.

[0073] The optical coherent receiver 200 also has four filters. As illustrated in FIG. 14, each of the four channels is filtered by an AC coupling 240 and a low-pass filter (LPF) 250. The LPF 250 removes high-frequency components and the AC coupling removes DC.

[0074] The four filtered analog signals are then converted to digital with four analog-to-digital converters 260. A digital demodulator 270 demodulates the signals on each of the four channels in the digital domain. Four channels are output from the digital demodulator which are then decoded

US 2005/0201492 A1

6

Sep. 15, 2005

using two sets of I-channel and Q-channel decoders 280, one set corresponding to x-polarization, the other corresponding to y-polarization. The outputs of these four decoders are then fed into an x-polarization carrier lock detector 100 and a y-polarization carrier lock detector 100 (having the components and functionality as described above). Each carrier lock detector 100 determines whether the carrier signal is locked or spinning and provides a feedback signal to enable (or disable) the respective set of decoders.

[0075] For optical coherent receivers, both homodyne and heterodyne detection may be used.

[0076] Not only can the carrier lock detector and associated method of detecting carrier lock be used in an optical coherent receiver, it can also be used for wireless (micro-wave or RF) or conventional landline networks.

[0077] While the lock detector described herein is most relevant in the context of long-haul and metro trunk systems, it can also be used for shorter range networks as well.

[0078] Persons skilled in the art will appreciate that rather than implementing the algorithms via logic gating, the algorithms can be implemented using RAM and a lookup table. It should also be apparent that other combinations of logic operators can be used to implement these two algorithms. The embodiments of the invention described above are thus intended to be exemplary only. The scope of the invention is therefore intended to be limited solely by the scope of the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A carrier lock detector for use with a QPSK or low-level QAM system having a constellation of signal points identified by a plurality of I bits and Q bits respectively representing in-phase and quadrature components in a phasor diagram, the phasor diagram including a plurality of first areas centered on ideal signal points representing nominal states and a plurality of second areas adjacent to the first areas, the detector comprising:

- a first counter responsive to a plurality of I bits and Q bits, the first counter producing a first output signal when a detected signal has I and Q components that map a signal point onto one of the first areas;
- a second counter responsive to a plurality of I bits and Q bits, the second counter producing a second output signal when a detected signal has I and Q components that map a signal point onto one of the second areas;
- and
- a logic device for computing a difference between the first output signal and the second output signal and for generating a carrier lock detection signal when the difference between the first output signal and the second output signal exceeds a threshold.

2. The carrier lock detector as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ and the second areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2) + (I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$.

3. The carrier lock detector as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ and the second areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2) + (I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$.

4. A coherent receiver with a carrier lock detector for use with a QPSK or low-level QAM system having a constel-

lation of signal points identified by a plurality of I bits and Q bits respectively representing in-phase and quadrature components in a phasor diagram, the phasor diagram including a plurality of first areas centered on ideal signal points representing nominal states and a plurality of second areas adjacent to the first areas, comprising:

- a first counter responsive to a plurality of I bits and Q bits, the first counter producing a first output signal when a detected signal has I and Q components that map a signal point onto one of the first areas;
- a second counter responsive to a plurality of I bits and Q bits, the second counter producing a second output signal when a detected signal has I and Q components that map a signal point onto one of the second areas;
- and
- a logic device for computing a difference between the first output signal and the second output signal and for generating a carrier lock detection signal when the difference between the first output signal and the second output signal exceeds a threshold.

5. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 4, further comprising:

- a local oscillator for generating a local signal having a local frequency different from that of a received signal;
- a coupler for combining the incoming signal with the local signal to produce an intermediate signal;
- a detector for detecting the intermediate signal;
- a filter for filtering the intermediate signal;
- a demodulator for separating the intermediate signal into analog I and Q components;
- a first analog-to-digital converter for converting the analog I components into I bits; and
- a second analog-to-digital converter for converting the analog Q components into Q bits.

6. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 5 wherein the first analog-to-digital converter is a 3-bit analog-to-digital converter for decoding I bits designated as I_1, I_2, I_3 , where I_1 is the most significant I bit; and the second analog-to-digital converter is a 3-bit analog-to-digital converter for decoding Q bits designated as Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 , where Q_1 is the most significant Q bit.

7. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 6, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ and the second areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2) + (I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$.

8. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 6, wherein the first areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$ and the second areas are defined by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2) + (I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2)$.

9. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 7 wherein the local oscillator comprises a laser.

10. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 9 wherein the detector comprises a photodiode.

11. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 10 wherein the filter comprises a low-pass filter and an AC coupling.

12. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 11 wherein the coupler is an optical hybrid.

13. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 8 wherein the local oscillator comprises a laser.

14. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 13 wherein the detector comprises a photodiode diode.

US 2005/0201492 A1

Sep. 15, 2005

15. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 14 wherein the filter comprises a low-pass filter and an AC coupling.

16. The coherent receiver as claimed in claim 15 wherein the coupler comprises an optical hybrid.

17. A method of detecting carrier lock in a QPSK or low-level QAM system having a constellation of signal points identified by a plurality of I bits and Q bits respectively representing in-phase and quadrature components in a phasor diagram, the phasor diagram including a plurality of first areas centered on ideal signal points representing nominal states and a plurality of second areas adjacent to the first areas, the method comprising the steps of:

monitoring a plurality of less significant I and Q bits;

generating a first signal when a detected received signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the first areas;

generating a second signal when the detected received signal has I and Q components that map onto one of the second areas;

computing a difference between the first signal and the second signal;

comparing the difference with a threshold value; and

generating a carrier lock detection signal when the difference exceeds the threshold.

18. The method as claimed in claim 17 further comprising the step of defining the first areas by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas by $(Q_2 \oplus Q_3) \cdot (I_1 \cdot I_2 + I_1 \cdot I_3 + I_2 \cdot I_3) + (I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_1 \oplus Q_2 + Q_1 \oplus Q_3)$.

19. The method as claimed in claim 18 further comprising a step of defining the first areas by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ and the second areas by $(I_1 \oplus I_2) \cdot (Q_2 \oplus Q_3) + (Q_1 \oplus Q_2) \cdot (I_1 \oplus I_2)$.

20. The method as claimed in claim 17 wherein the steps of generating a first signal and generating a second signal are accomplished using a device selected from the group consisting of a RAM and a lookup table.

* * * *

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.